

Elements of Machine Learning & Data Science

Winter semester 2023/24

Lecture 19 – Neural Networks Basics 19.12.2023

Prof. Bastian Leibe

Machine Learning Topics

- 1. Introduction to ML
- 2. Probability Density Estimation
- 3. Linear Discriminants
- 4. Linear Regression
- 5. Logistic Regression
- **6. Support Vector Machines**
- 7. (AdaBoost)
- 8. Neural Network Basics

Soft-Margin SVM Hinge Loss

Recap: Soft-Margin SVM

- Idea: Introduce slack variables $\xi_n \geq 0$
	- One slack variable ξ_n for each training point
- **Effect**
	- $\xi_n = 0$ for points on the correct side.
	- Linear penalty for all other points: $\xi_n = |t_n - y(\mathbf{x}_n)|$
- Slack variables are jointly optimized with w:

$$
\min \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n
$$

where C is a tradeoff parameter.

Soft-Margin SVMs

Recap: Soft-Margin SVM Primal Form

• Minimize

$$
L_p = \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{w}||^2 + C \sum_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n - \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n [t_n(y(\mathbf{x}_n) - 1 + \xi_n] - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_n \xi_n
$$

Constraint

$$
t_n y(\mathbf{x}_n) \ge 1 - \xi_n \qquad \xi_n \ge 0
$$

• KKT conditions

$$
a_n \ge 0 \qquad \qquad \mu_n \ge 0
$$

$$
t_n y(\mathbf{x}_n) - 1 + \xi_n \ge 0 \qquad \qquad \xi_n \ge 0
$$

$$
a_n [t_n y(\mathbf{x}_n) - 1 + \xi_n] = 0 \qquad \qquad \mu_n \xi_n = 0
$$

Recap: Soft-Margin SVM Dual Form

• Maximize

$$
L_d(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} a_n a_m t_n t_m(\mathbf{x}_m^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_n)
$$

• Under the side conditions

$$
0 \le a_n \le C \quad \forall n
$$

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n t_n = 0
$$

This is the only difference to before.

Recap: Non-linear SVM Formulation

• Maximize

$$
L_d(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} a_n a_m t_n t_m k(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_n)
$$

 $0 \leq a_n$ under the constraints $\overline{}$

$$
0 \le a_n \le C \quad \forall n
$$

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n t_n = 0
$$

• Classify new data points using

$$
y(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n t_n k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}) + b
$$

Support Vector Machines

- 1. Maximum Margin Classification
- 2. Primal Formulation
- 3. Dual Formulation
- 4. Soft-Margin SVMs
- 5. Non-linear SVMs
- **6. Error Function Analysis**

Error Function Analysis

• We know how to formulate and optimize an SVM as a convex optimization problem:

$$
\argmin_{\mathbf{w}, \, b, \, \xi_n \in \mathbb{R}^+} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n
$$

subject to the constraints

$$
t_n y(\mathbf{x}_n) \geq 1 - \xi_n
$$

Error Function Analysis

• We know how to formulate and optimize an SVM as a convex optimization problem:

$$
\argmin_{\mathbf{w},\,b,\,\xi_n\in\mathbb{R}^+}\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C\sum_{n=1}^N\xi_n
$$

subject to the constraints

$$
t_n y(\mathbf{x}_n) \geq 1 - \xi_n
$$

- Integrate the constraints into the objective function:
	- Rewrite as $\xi_n \geq 1 t_n y(\mathbf{x}_n)$
	- Thus, we obtain

$$
\min_{\mathbf{w},b} E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{w}||^2 + C \sum_{n=1}^{N} [1 - t_n y(\mathbf{x}_n)]_+ \qquad [x]_+ \equiv \max\{x, 0\}
$$

But what error function does this correspond to?

The Hinge Loss

- Regularization bounds parameter size.
- Hinge Loss enforces sparsity:
	- Only a subset of training data points actually influences the decision boundary.
	- Still, all input dimensions are used.
- This formulation corresponds to an unconstrained optimization of a non-differentiable function.
	- Very efficient: stochastic (sub-)gradient descent.

Discussion: Hinge Loss

Advantages Limitations

- Favors sparse solutions that only depend on a subset of training data points.
- Robust to outliers (only a linear penalty for misclassified points).
- Convex function, unique minimum exists.
-
- Not differentiable (cannot minimize this loss using standard gradient descent, but need to use subgradient descent).

Machine Learning Topics

- 1. Introduction to ML
- 2. Probability Density Estimation
- 3. Linear Discriminants
- 4. Linear Regression
- 5. Logistic Regression
- 6. Support Vector Machines
- 7. (AdaBoost)
- **8. Neural Network Basics**

$$
\frac{1}{2}(y(\mathbf{x}) - t)^2
$$

$$
[1 - ty(\mathbf{x})]_+ - \sum_{k} \left(\mathbb{I}(t = k) \ln \frac{\exp(y_k(\mathbf{x}))}{\sum_{j} \exp(y_j(\mathbf{x}))} \right)
$$

Multi-Layer Perceptrons Losses & Regularizers

Backpropagation Stochastic Gradient Descent

Neural Network Basics

- **1. Perceptrons**
- 2. Multi-Layer Perceptrons
- 3. Loss Functions
- 4. Backpropagation
- 5. Stochastic Gradient Descent

Perceptrons

- Inspired by biological neurons.
- The output is determined by the activation of the input nodes and a set of weights connecting input and output layers.

Basic Perceptron

- Input Layer:
	- Hand-designed features
- Outputs:
	- Linear outputs

$$
y(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + w_0
$$

- Logistic outputs
	- $y(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + w_0)$
- Learning: finding optimal weights W.

Multi-Class Networks

- One output node per class:
	- Linear outputs

$$
y_k(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{D} w_{ki} \mathbf{x}_i
$$

• Logistic outputs

$$
y_k(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^D w_{ki} \mathbf{x}_i\right)
$$

• We can do multidimensional linear regression or multiclass classification this way.

Non-Linear Basis Functions

- Apply a (fixed) mapping $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ to inputs:
	- Linear outputs

$$
y_k(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^{M} w_{kj} \phi_j(\mathbf{x})
$$

• Logistic outputs

$$
y_k(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma \left(\sum_{j=0}^{M} w_{kj} \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) \right)
$$

Connections to linear discriminants

- All of this should feel very familiar.
- Perceptrons are generalized linear discriminants!
- What does that mean?
	- We have the same limitations as before.
	- Can model any separable function perfectly, given the right input features.
	- For some tasks, this may require an exponential number of input features.
- *It is the feature design that solves the task!*

Limitations so far

- Generalized linear discriminants (including perceptrons) are very limited.
	- A linear classifier cannot solve certain problems (e.g., XOR).
	- However, with a non-linear classifier based on suitable features, the problem becomes solvable.
	- So far, we have designed the features and kernels by hand.
- *How can we learn good feature representations?*

Neural Network Basics

- 1. Perceptrons
- **2. Multi-Layer Perceptrons**
- 3. Loss Functions
- 4. Backpropagation
- 5. Stochastic Gradient Descent

Multi-Layer Perceptrons

• Perceptrons are limited by having a fixed input mapping.

Multi-Layer Perceptrons

- Perceptrons are limited by having a fixed input mapping.
- Replace it with a hidden layer that learns suitable features.
- Output of hidden layer is input to next layer.
- Each layer computes a matrix multiplication and applies an elementwise activation function $q(\cdot)$:

 $\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x}) = g^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{W}^{(1)}\mathbf{x}\right)$ $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) = g^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{W}^{(2)}\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x})\right)$

- Key step: *Now we also make the earlier layer learnable!*
- This is known as a Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP).

General Network Structure

• Multi-Layer Perceptron model:

$$
y_k(\mathbf{x}) = g^{(2)}\left(\sum_{i=0}^M w_{ki}^{(2)} g^{(1)}\left(\sum_{j=0}^D w_{ij}^{(1)} x_j\right)\right)
$$

- Usually, each layer adds a bias term.
- Activation functions between layers should be non-linear.
	- For example: $g^{(2)}(a) = \sigma(a), g^{(1)}(a) = \max\{a, 0\}$
	- With linear activations, successive layers would still compute a linear function.
- The hidden layer can have an arbitrary number of nodes.
- There can also be multiple hidden layers.

MLPs are Universal Approximators

$$
y_k(\mathbf{x}) = g^{(2)}\left(\sum_{i=0}^M w_{ki}^{(2)} g^{(1)}\left(\sum_{j=0}^D w_{ij}^{(1)} x_j\right)\right)
$$

- Universal Approximator Theorem:
	- A network with one hidden layer can approximate any continuous function of a compact domain arbitrarily well (assuming sufficient hidden nodes).
- *Way more powerful than linear models!*

Learning with Hidden Units

- We now have a model that contains multiple layers of adaptive non-linear hidden units.
- How can we train such models?
	- Need to train *all* weights, not just last layer.
	- Learning the weights to the hidden units = learning features.
	- We don't know what the hidden units should do.
- Basic Idea: Gradient Descent.

This is the main challenge of deep learning!

Steped until			
$E(w)$	$\frac{\partial E(w)}{\partial w}$	$w^{(\tau+1)} = w^{(\tau)} - \eta \frac{\partial E(w)}{\partial w}$	Repeat until
Apply Error	Calculate	Update Weights	
Function	Gradients		

Neural Network Basics

- 1. Perceptrons
- 2. Multi-Layer Perceptrons
- **3. Loss Functions**
- 4. Backpropagation
- 5. Stochastic Gradient Descent

Loss Functions

- We train Neural Networks by minimizing an error function
	- In principle, any differentiable objective function can be used here.
	- Typically, we use a combination of a loss function $L(t, y(\mathbf{x}))$ and a regularizer $\Omega(\mathbf{w})$:

$$
E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} L(t_n, y(\mathbf{x}_n; \mathbf{w})) + \lambda \Omega(\mathbf{w})
$$
\n
$$
E(\mathbf{w}) \longrightarrow \frac{\partial E(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{w}^{(\tau+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(\tau)} - \eta \frac{\partial E(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}
$$
\nApply Error Calculate
\nFunction
\nGradients
\n

Examples of Loss Functions

- We can use any of the loss functions we have seen so far to achieve different effects:
	- L_2 loss (Squared Error)

$$
L(t, y(\mathbf{x})) = \frac{1}{2}(y(\mathbf{x}) - t)^2
$$

• Binary Cross-Entropy loss

$$
\sum_{i} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} L(t, y(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}))
$$

 \Rightarrow Least-squares regression / classif.

$$
L(t,y(\mathbf{x})) = -(t\ln\sigma(y(\mathbf{x})) + (1-t)\ln(1-\sigma(y(\mathbf{x})))) \quad \Rightarrow \text{Logistic regression}
$$

• Hinge loss

$$
L(t, y(\mathbf{x})) = [1 - ty(\mathbf{x})]_+
$$

• Multi-Class Cross-Entropy loss

$$
L(t, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = -\sum_{k} \left(\mathbb{I}(t = k) \ln \frac{\exp(y_k(\mathbf{x}))}{\sum_{j} \exp(y_j(\mathbf{x}))} \right)
$$

 \Rightarrow SVM classification

 \Rightarrow Multi-class probabilistic classification

Examples of Regularization Terms

- Similarly, we can use any of the regularization terms we have seen so far:
	- L₂ regularizer ("Weight Decay")

$$
\Omega(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2
$$

• L₁ regularizer

$$
\Omega(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{w}\|_1
$$

- Since Neural Networks have many parameters, regularization becomes an important consideration.
	- Many of the more advanced NN "training tricks" can also be understood as a form of regularization

 \Rightarrow Prevents overfitting

 \Rightarrow Enforces sparsity (feature selection)

Neural Network Basics

- 1. Perceptrons
- 2. Multi-Layer Perceptrons
- 3. Loss Functions
- **4. Backpropagation**
- 5. Stochastic Gradient Descent

- We know a flexible model that is able to learn features.
- We also know how to compute an error estimate.
- Now we need to compute the gradients with respect to our parameters.

Approach 1: Naïve Analytical Differentiation

- Compute the gradients of each variable analytically.
- Scalar case is straightforward:

$$
\Delta z = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \Delta y \qquad \Delta y = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \Delta x
$$

$$
\Delta z = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \Delta x
$$

$$
\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}
$$

Approach 1: Naïve Analytical Differentiation

- Compute the gradients of each variable analytically.
- Scalar case is straightforward.
- Multi-dimensional case: Total derivative
	- Need to sum over all paths to target variable:

$$
\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_1} \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_2} \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x} + \ldots = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x}
$$

• With increasing depth, there will be exponentially many paths!

Approach 2: Numerical Differentiation

- Given the current state $\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}$, we can evaluate $E(\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)})$.
- Idea: Make small changes to $\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}$ and accept those that improve $E(\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)})$.
- Need several forward passes for each weight over the whole dataset.
- This is horribly inefficient!

Approach 3: Incremental Analytical Differentiation

- Idea: compute the gradients layer by layer.
- Each layer below builds upon the results of the layer above.
- The gradient is propagated backwards through the layers.
- This is the backpropagation algorithm.

 $\frac{\partial E}{\partial z_i^{(k)}} = \frac{\partial y_j^{(k)}}{\partial z_i^{(k)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^{(k)}} \qquad = \frac{\partial g\left(z_j^{(k)}\right)}{\partial z_i^{(k)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^{(k)}}$

 $\frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^{(k-1)}} = \sum_j \frac{\partial z_j^{(k)}}{\partial y_i^{(k-1)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j^{(k)}}.$

 $\frac{\partial E}{\partial z_i^{(k)}} = \frac{\partial y_j^{(k)}}{\partial z_i^{(k)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^{(k)}} \qquad = \frac{\partial g(z_j^{(k)})}{\partial z_i^{(k)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^{(k)}}$

 $\frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^{(k-1)}} = \sum_j \frac{\partial z_j^{(k)}}{\partial y_i^{(k-1)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j^{(k)}} = \sum_i w_{ji}^{(k-1)} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_i^{(k)}}$

 $\label{eq:2} \boxed{\frac{\partial z_j^{(k)}}{\partial y_i^{(k-1)}} = w_{ji}^{(k-1)}}$

 $\frac{\partial E}{\partial z_i^{(k)}} = \frac{\partial y_j^{(k)}}{\partial z_i^{(k)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^{(k)}} \qquad = \frac{\partial g\left(z_j^{(k)}\right)}{\partial z_i^{(k)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^{(k)}}$

 $\frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^{(k-1)}} = \sum_j \frac{\partial z_j^{(k)}}{\partial y_i^{(k-1)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_i^{(k)}} = \sum_i w_{ji}^{(k-1)} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_i^{(k)}}$

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}^{(k-1)}} = \frac{\partial z_j^{(k)}}{\partial w_{ji}^{(k-1)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j^{(k)}}
$$

 $\frac{\partial E}{\partial z_i^{(k)}} = \frac{\partial y_j^{(k)}}{\partial z_i^{(k)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^{(k)}} \qquad = \frac{\partial g(z_j^{(k)})}{\partial z_i^{(k)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^{(k)}}$

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^{(k-1)}} = \sum_j \frac{\partial z_j^{(k)}}{\partial y_i^{(k-1)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j^{(k)}} = \sum_j w_{ji}^{(k-1)} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j^{(k)}}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}^{(k-1)}} = \frac{\partial z_j^{(k)}}{\partial w_{ji}^{(k-1)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j^{(k)}} = y_i^{(k-1)} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j^{(k)}}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial z_j^{(k)}}{\partial w_{ji}^{(k-1)}} = y_i^{(k-1)}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j^{(k)}} = \frac{\partial y_j^{(k)}}{\partial z_j^{(k)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_j^{(k)}} \qquad \qquad = \frac{\partial g\left(z_j^{(k)}\right)}{\partial z_j^{(k)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_j^{(k)}}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^{(k-1)}} = \sum_j \frac{\partial z_j^{(k)}}{\partial y_i^{(k-1)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j^{(k)}} = \sum_j w_{ji}^{(k-1)} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j^{(k)}}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}^{(k-1)}} = \frac{\partial z_j^{(k)}}{\partial w_{ji}^{(k-1)}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j^{(k)}} = y_i^{(k-1)} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j^{(k)}}
$$

Discussion Backpropagation

Advantages Limitations

- Very general algorithm, widely used.
- Efficiently computes all gradients in the network using dynamic programming.
- The same concept can be applied to any differentiable function.
	- This makes it possible to define other types of layers.

- Efficient evaluation of backpropagation requires storing all unit activations from forward pass.
	- The amount of memory necessary for this imposes a practical limit on the size of the network.
- Successful learning relies on the gradients to be propagated to the early network layers.
	- Numerical challenges may arise here.

Neural Network Basics

- 1. Perceptrons
- 2. Multi-Layer Perceptrons
- 3. Loss Functions
- 4. Backpropagation
- **5. Stochastic Gradient Descent**

Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Now that we have the gradients, we need to update the weights.
- We already know the basic equation for this
	- (1st-order) Gradient Descent

$$
w_{kj}^{(\tau+1)} = w_{kj}^{(\tau)} - \eta \left. \frac{\partial E(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_{kj}} \right|_{\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}}
$$

- Remaining Questions:
	- On what data do we want to apply this?
	- How should we choose the learning rate η ?

Stochastic vs. Batch Learning

- Batch Learning
	- Process the full dataset in one batch.
	- Compute the gradient based on all training examples.

$$
w_{kj}^{(\tau+1)} = w_{kj}^{(\tau)} - \eta \left. \frac{\partial E(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_{kj}} \right|_{\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}}
$$

- Stochastic Learning
	- Choose a single example from the training set.
	- Compute the gradient only based on this example.
	- This estimate will generally be noisy, which has some advantages.

$$
E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} E_n(\mathbf{w})
$$

$$
w_{kj}^{(\tau+1)} = w_{kj}^{(\tau)} - \eta \left. \frac{\partial E_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_{kj}} \right|_{\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}}
$$

- Conditions of convergence are well understood.
- Many acceleration techniques only work in batch learning.
- Theoretical analysis of the weight dynamics and convergence rates are simpler.

Batch Learning Stochastic Learning

- Usually much faster than batch learning.
- Often results in better solutions.
- Can be used for tracking changes when the target distribution shifts.

Middle ground: Minibatches

Minibatches

- Idea
	- Process only a small batch of training examples together.
	- Start with a small batch size & increase it as training proceeds.
- Advantages
	- Gradients will be more stable than for stochastic gradient descent, but still faster to compute than with batch learning.
	- Take advantage of redundancies in the training set.
	- Matrix operations are more efficient than vector operations.
- **Caveat**
	- Need to normalize error function by the minibatch size to use the same learning rate between minibatches

$$
E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} L(t_n, y(\mathbf{x}_n; \mathbf{w})) + \frac{\lambda}{N} \Omega(\mathbf{w})
$$

 $\mathcal{B} = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1), \ldots, (\mathbf{x}_B, t_B)\} \subset \mathcal{D}$

Example

Choosing the Right Learning Rate

• Consider a simple 1D example:

$$
w^{(\tau+1)} = w^{(\tau)} - \eta \frac{\partial E(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}
$$

- What is the optimal learning rate $\eta_{\rm opt}$?
- If E is quadratic, the optimal learning rate is given by the inverse of the Hessian:

$$
\eta_{\rm opt} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 E(\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)})}{\partial \mathbf{w}^2}\right)^{-1}
$$

• *For neural networks, the Hessian is usually infeasible to compute.*

Stochastic Gradient Descent | Choosing the Right Learning Rate

Discussion: Stochastic Gradient Descent

Advantages Limitations

- Very simple, but still quite robust method.
- Minibatches offer a compromise between stability and faster computation.
- Stochasticity in minibatches is often beneficial for learning

- Finding a good setting for the learning rate is very important for fast convergence.
	- Choosing the right learning rate is a challenge and requires experience.
	- A different learning rate may be optimal for different parts of the network

 $\partial E(\mathbf{w})$

 ∂ w

- Following the direction of steepest descent is not always the fastest way to the optimum
	- E.g., in highly correlated data

References and Further Reading

• More information about Neural Networks and Deep Learning is available in the following book.

<https://www.deeplearningbook.org/>

